## United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



**APPENDIX** 

## 74-1550

IN THE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

NO. 74-1550

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

-against-

CARMINE TRAMUNTI, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

253

DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS' JOINT APPENDIX Vol. T(38) - Pages 5366 to 5380

HERBERT SIEGAL
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Carmine Tramunti
17 John Street
New York, New York 10038
RE 2-5330

NANCY ROSNER
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Louis Inglese
401 Broadway
New York, New York 10013
925-8844



PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

IVAN S. FISHER
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Donato Christiano
401 Broadway
New York, New York 10013
925-5937

ROBERT L. ELLIS
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Angelo Mamone
17 East 63rd Street
New York, New York 10021
838-2323

FRANK A. LOPEZ Attorney for Defendant-Appellant Joseph DiNapoli 31 Smith Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 237-9500

THEODORE ROSENBERG
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Frank Pugliese
31 Smith Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
858-0589

KENNETH E. WARNER
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Joseph Ceriale
875 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10001
244-4444

ROBERT FISKE Attorney for Defendant-Appellant John Gamba One Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, New York 10005 422-3400

GEORGE DAVID ROSENBAUM Attorney for Defendant-Appellant Vincent D'Amico 51 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 BE 3-8120 MICHAEL C. DOWD Attorney for Defendant-Appellant Frank Russo 120-10 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415 793-2900

ROBERT LEIGHTON
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Warren C. Robinson
15 Park Row
New York, New York 10038
267-6016

GARY SUNDEN
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
William Alonzo
401 Broadway
New York, New York 10013
925-4848

EDWARD PANZER
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Hattie Ware
299 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
349-6128

MARTIN JAY SIEGAL
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
John Springer
250 West 57th Street
New York, New York 10019
586-1414

HARRY POLLAK
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant
Henry Salley
299 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
BE 3-0386

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Vs.
CARMINE TRAMUNTI, et al.

73 Cr. 1099

New York, March 9, 1974; 10.00 A.M.

Trial resumed.

(At 10.00 o'clock a.m. the jury assembled in the juryroom to continue to deliberate upon a verdict.)

(At 11.00 a.m. a note was received from the jury.)

THE COURT: I received a note from the jury, which reads as follows:

"Dear Judge Duffy:

"We would like to hear the testimony regarding Count 3, 4, 5 and 6 against Louis Inglese. We believe this is contained in Primrose Cadman's testimony.

"Sincerely, Madam Forelady."

Mr. Clerk, will you mark this as a Court's exhibit, please.

(Court's Exhibit No. 77 wasmarked for identification.)

THE COURT: I gather what they want is Primrose

2 |

tp2

Cadman's testimony read back. Iam going to have the court reporter read the entire thing, both direct and cross.

All right.

MR. FISHER: If your Honor please, I am representing Mr. Inglese at this time.

I would submit as well that the testimony of the witness, the only witness offered on Mr. Inglese's behalf, be read as well, since the question asks for the testimony relating to those counts which they believe to be Primrose Cadman, but that is not all of the testimony and I believe the direct and cross of that witness is very brief and in the interests of justice I think that should be read as well.

MR. PHILLIPS: They asked for the testimony of Primrose Cadman, I believe your Honor just read in the note.

THE COURT: They asked for the testimony relating to those counts.

MR. FISHER: I would offer the services of my voice, your Honor.

· THE COURT: Thank you.

How long is the testimony? Who was it? Zimbardo.

MR. PHILLIPS: It is fairly lengthy.

MR. LOPEZ: I have it here.

| tp3

MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor said direct and cross of Primrose Cadman. I assume that would include the redirect and recross.

THE COURT: Yes. All right, bring in the jury.

(Jury present.)

MR. FISHER: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

THECOURT: Yes.

(At the side bar.)

MR. FISHER: Would your Honor advise the jury simply that Mrs. Rosner was required to appear in front of another judge now and that's why she is not here, so they don't think she, you know, is disinterested in these proceedings.

I know that is where she is and I am sure if she could have been here now she would have been. I don't think Mr. Inglese should even risk the prejudice that might occur if they thought that she didn't care about what happens here.

. THE COURT: Why not?

MR. CURRAN: No objection.

MR. FISHER: Thank you.

(In open court.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

I should point out to you that Mrs. Rosner is not here today at this time and there is a reason for it. She was required to appear before another judge in this building who is also sitting today.

However, we did receive this note from you and I am going to read it to you and then I am going to tell you what we have agreed to do. Please don't put up your hand and say, "No, we don't want that." Just listen to the testimony as it is reread.

If you want something different, please go back into the juryroom and send me a note saying, "We want something different."

I am going to say this first. All right?

You stated, "We would like to hear the testimony regarding Count 3, 4, 5 and 6 against Louis Inglese.

We believe this is contained in Primrose Cadman's testimony."

I am going to have Primrose Cadman's testimony read to you. There is, however, also the testimony of Mr. Joseph Zimbardo. I am going to have Mr. Zimbardo's testimony read to you, also.

All right. Since I talked so much yesterday,
I am going to ask the court reporter to do the reading.

1 1:105 (The testimony of the witness Primrose 2 Cadman was read to the jury.) 3 (The testimony of Joseph Zimbardo was read 5 to the jury.) THE COURT: All right, Marshal, take the jury 6 7 out. (At 12.50 p.m., the jury retired to the juryroom 8 to continue to deliberate upon a verdict.) 9 (At 2.15 p.m. a note was received from the 10 jury.) 11 THE COURT: I received another note from the 12 jury which is going to be marked as a Court's exhibit. 13 It is a very simple thing. You don't even have 14 to sit down if you are in the process of doing anything. 15 "Dear Judge Duffy: 16 "The jury would like another copy of the 17 indictment to facilitate our deliberations. 18 "Sincerely, Madam Forelady." 19 MR. KING: Judge, would I be out of order in 20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. KING: Judge, would I be out of order in asking the Court that in view of the jury's request for the indictment that the bills of particulars go along with it as being part of the pleadings?

THE COURT: No. I am going to give them a copy of the indictment, but that is all.

MR. KING: I think it restricts the language of the indictment, Judge, or amplifies it anyway.

THE COURT: No, I don't think so.

All right, gentlemen, I have run into a logistic problem which I might tell you about because it is going to affect everybody here. The logistics problem is simple enough. I can't find a restaurant available here to feed the jury dinner.

I recognize that there is Chinatown, but we have some people who don't like Chinese food, and I recognithat we have Jambone's and Antica Roma, but I would hate to see you all go without food completely. I don't know where the prosecution goes, but I met you over at least at Antica Roma.

Anyway, you are going home to your wife and children at a fairly early hour. I can't see holding the jury here longer than 7 o'clock. I have to make arrangements with a midtown restaurant to feed them and, in fact, I have to make arrangements with two midtown restaurants to feed them. I have separated the alternates completely

In case you haven't noticed, I have separate transportation for the alternates rather than with the jurand they are in a separate wing of the hotel where they are. They were moved out last night. They have been

ze

tp7 kept completely apart. Do I dare ask whether our quartermaster has another copy of the cut-up indictment? MR. ENGEL: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. MR. DOWD: Judge, will you just check it to make sure everything is there that should be there? THE COURT: Yes, I will, don't worry about it. Okay, stay around. (Court's Exhibit No. 78 was marked for identification.)

1	tpl .
2	(At 4.00 o'clock p.m. a note was received
3	from the jury.)
4	THE COURT: I received another note from the
5	jury and it reads as follows:
6	"I want to hear the testimony on Benjamin
7	. Tolopka from Barnaba and the testimony from the
8	Department of Motor Vehicles Inspector."
9	I propose to have read to the jury pages 1247
10	through 1261, which is Barnaba, and pages 1319 to 1330 of
 11	Barnaba's direct.
12	Where is Mr. Richman?
13	MR. FIME: Your Monor, I am here on behalf of
14	Hr. Richman.
15	MR. CURPAH: Your Honor, might we have the
16	consent of the defendant on the record?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	Mr. Tolopka, do you consent to Mr. Fine filling
19	in for Mr. Richman right now?
20	DEFENDANT TOLOPKA: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	I started to say, on cross-examination, cross-
23	examination by Mr. Richman runs from 1559 through 1624
24	MR.DOWD: May we have the first list of pages,
25	Judge?

T2

MR. CURRAN: Your Honor, I took notes if you want what you said.

THE COURT: I will do it.

On Barnaba's direct, pages 1247 through 1262.

Barnaba's direct, pages 1319 to 1330.

Barnaba's cross, from where Mr. Richman starts at 1559 through to 1624.

There was redirect on Barnaba. Redirect runs from 1834 to 1837.

Then there is recross on Barnaba by Mr. Richman from 1909 through 1919.

The testimony of the Motor Vehicles Inspector is Arnold G. Vismale, and that is at page 4421, and I assume they want the entire thing read, both direct and cross.

Mr. Clerk, would you be good enough to mark the note from the jury as Court's Exhibit No. 80.

(Court's Exhibit 80 was marked for identification.)

MR. CURRAN: Your Honor, I would respectfully point out to the Court that the testimony of Inspector Vismale from Motor Vehicles goes, as far as I recall the record, not to anything that is going to appear in the direct or cross or redirect or recross of Barnab, but to the cross of the defendant Tolopka.

жx

XX

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I am well aware of that, but that is what they asked for. They want to hear the Motor Vehicles Inspector's testimony.

MR. WARNER: Your Honor, I wonder if before the jury is called back, can Mr. Fine and Mr. Tolopka and I speak for a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause.)

MR. WARNER: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Did I miss something?

MR. WARNER: Well, I just wanted to make a further request on behalf of Mr. Tolopka, and that is the testimony of the Motor Vehicles Inspector, of course, concerns. P & J Motors -- I am sorry, Fair Motors.

MR. CURPAN: Your Honor, it concerns both.

THE COURT: Yes, it concerns both. Go ahead.

MR. WARNER: May I approach the side bar with Mr. Fine, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(At the side bar.)

MR. WARNER: I am just trying to help out.

Mr. Tolopka indicated to me that his testimony on his direct case that he had purchased the car from Mr. Fair of Fair Motors at the P & J lot in the Bronx.

tp

1:54

THE COURT: That is not the way I remember it.

MR. CURRAN: It is not in the record.

THE COURT: That is not the way I remember it at all.

MR. WARNER: This is what the problem is.

MR. CURRAN: In any case, they haven't asked for Tolopka's testimony.

MR. WARNER: That is not the point, because if, in fact, this was in his direct case that it was from Mr. Fair of Fair Motors bought at another car lot that was never looked at, I think it would be appropriate for the jury to hear that testimony.

MR. PHILLIPS: The jury asked for certain testimony.

THE COURT: The jury asked for specific things,

I am going to give them specific things.

MR. CURRAN: Any more than the cross of Mr. Tolopka, frankly, I don't mind being read in front of them, but they didn't ask for it.

THE COURT: No, no way.

(In open court; jury present.)

gentlemen. I didn't ignore it. It just takes a little time to find exactly what you want.

THE FORELADY: That is all right.

THE COURT: The note reads, "I want to hear the testimony of Benjamin Tolopka from Barnaba and the testimony from the Department of Motor Vehicles Inspector."

In viewof the fact that through the trial up to this point the court reporters have been extremely quiet, I am letting him do it again.

(A portion of the testimony of the witness Barnaba was read to the jury.)

THE COURT: I stopped the reading of the testinony at this point since I felt that we were getting away from that requested by the jury.

All right, would you read the next section, please, Mr. Reporter.

(A portion of the witness Barnaba's testimony was read to the jury.)

THE COURT: I am going to take a break right now.

Mr. Marshal, will you take the jury out.

(The jury left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I recognize it is unusual, but I don't know if you noticed one of the jurors, I think, had to go to the men's room.

(Recess.)

	(In	open	court,	in	the	absence	of	the
jury.	)							

MR. WARNER: Your Honor, was the jury's note signed by the forelady?

THE COURT: Take a look at it. It is unsigned.

MR. ELLIS: I think they are all in different handwriting.

THE COURT: It doesn't matter. If one juror wants to hear it, they will hear it.

MR. WARNER: I understand. The way I heard it, it sounded like one juror wanted to hear this testimony and not the others. I was just interested.

THE COURT: What is the difference?

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Reporter, would you continue reading.

(A portion of the testimony of the witness Barnaba was read to the jury.)

(A portion of the witness Vismale's testimony was read to the jury.)

MR. CURRAN: Your Honor, may I approach the bench with Mr. Richman?

THE COURT: Yes.

(At the side bar.)

25 (At the

	MR.	CURRAN:	There is	additional	cross-examina
by Mr. Ell	is,	and I just	want to	call it to	your Honor's
attention,	of	Vismale.	It is	not by Mr.	Richman.

MR. RICHMAN: It doesn't relate to Mr. Tolopka at all, it relates to Mr. Mamone. It is with reference to Jimmy's Car Lot and it had nothing to do with Tolopka's testimony.

MR. CURRAN: I don't care. I just want to call it to your attention.

MR. RICHMAN: I saw it.

THE COURT: Ohay.

(In open court.)

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen,

I believe that answers the last request.

Mr. Marshal.

(At 6.35 p.m., the jury returned to the juryroom to continue to deliberate upon a verdict.)

. THE COURT: All right, stay around. Don't wande

(At 7.00 o'clock p.m. in open court in the absence of the jury.)

who would be going to religious services tomorrow. There is one person on the jury who will.

OUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE

. 7

31.

on.

The marshal at the same time indicated that we are having transportation brought here at 7 o'clock to get them to eat and the jury indicated that they had just about had it for today. Under the circumstances, we will break at 7 o'clock.

MR. PANZER: It is 7 o'clock now.

THE COURT: Yes, it is 7 o'clock now. I know it.
Tomorrow morning we will back here at 11 o'clock.

Please, it is most important that all counsel be here. Also, needless to say, it is most important that all defendants be here. The next request for testimony might be involving your client and I want you here and I want the clients here, too, to hear what is going on.

See you at 11 tomorrow.

MR. FISHER: Your Honor, would you advise the marshals not to bring the defendants over until 11?

THE COURT: I don't know what the marshals can do about it.

(Adjourned to March 10, 1974, at 11.00 A.M.)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE
FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580